

# Technocracy

*Technocracy: Agenda 2030 and the 'Fourth Industrial Revolution' looms. Who is behind this agenda and what is their ultimate aim? A basic examination of the history and economic philosophy of 'The Technocracy,' and its modern incarnations in globalist organisations and movements; their schemes and plans for the future of mankind, and the evil forces that drive them. Finally, a plea to Christians, to be alert and watchful, to engage in spiritual warfare, (not carnal) and to trust in God.*

*Text Psalm 2:1-5 Why do the heathen rage, and the people imagine a vain thing? 2 The kings of the earth set themselves, and the rulers take counsel together, against the Lord, and against his anointed, saying, 3 Let us break their bands asunder, and cast away their cords from us. 4 He that sitteth in the heavens shall laugh: the Lord shall have them in derision. 5 Then shall he speak unto them in his wrath, and vex them in his sore displeasure. 9 Thou shalt break them with a rod of iron; thou shalt dash them in pieces like a potter's vessel. 10 Be wise now therefore, O ye kings: be instructed, ye judges of the earth. 11 Serve the Lord with fear, and rejoice with trembling.*

*John 8:32 And you will know the truth, and the truth will set you free..*

The subject is Technocracy and Technocrats.<sup>1</sup> You could be forgiven if you are scratching your head, and saying “What?” I must confess that up to a couple of years ago, I’d never heard of technocracy, or technocrats. When I did finally discover the word technocracy, I thought it was just a pejorative term, - like the way that Sinn Fein used to speak of the ‘securicrats’ - the shadowy spooks who watch and manipulate people, or the ‘bureaucrats’ the boring men and women who sit at desks all day shuffling pieces of paper. I was surprised to discover that Technocracy was not in itself a bad word, - it was a genuine political and economical movement that has been around for a century or so, and which should be critiqued fairly, in the same way that we would critique socialism, capitalism, marxism, communism, and so on, and yet a philosophy which as we will see, is driving much of the agendas of modern governments worldwide. Understanding technocracy will help us to understand what is happening to our nation states, our parliaments, and ultimately to our private lives.

And, as usual, I must make a confession and be completely open - I’m by no means an expert on the subject, so what I’m going to do in this talk, is to where your appetitive, - make you aware of the existence of this philosophy, this possible threat, I suggest, to our whole way of life and our freedom, and then, made aware, you can do further research yourself. In the notes are some sources, so you can do just that. We’re going to look at three areas of interest, the History of Technocracy, the Danger of Technocracy and a possible Christian response to Technocracy.

## 1. The History of Technocracy.

I wonder have you ever looked at our modern cadre of politicians, wether local or national and said something like, “Run a country? That lot couldn’t run a bath!” If you have, you would be reasonably close to an accurate assessment, and you wouldn’t be in any way discourteous to them! One of the hallmarks of modern governments across the world is incompetence! If you’ve realised that democratically elected governments are often incompetent, and they are incompetent because those who sit in parliaments and who hold high office are often not qualified for or experienced at the responsibilities they hold, you will understand the basic motivation of the early technocrats. Here’s a very basic definition of technocracy:-

*“Technocracy is a form of government in which the decision-maker or makers are selected based on their expertise in a given area of responsibility, particularly with regard to scientific or technical knowledge.”<sup>2</sup>*

Just imagine the advantages of having a medically qualified minister of health, a lawyer as minister for justice, a former petrol or energy boss running the dept for Energy, a highly qualified agriculturist in charge of DEFRA, a food scientist in charge of food, and over them all, a PM who has been a highly successful CEO of a multinational company. People running the government who actually know what they are doing. Now that is the basic thought underlying technocracy.

You will sometimes read that technocracy began in the early 1930’s in the USA, during the Great Depression, when people in the cities and towns and countryside in America were living on the breadline, but the word ‘technocracy’ itself had been around for some years before that. (Greek techno = skill) (Be aware of this when you hear the politicians talking about A cabinet of ‘all the talents’<sup>3</sup>). It was a philosophical idea being tossed around in North America for ten years or more before the Great Depression, but it was during the Depression that it found a public voice. The depression was caused by a number of factors,<sup>4</sup> including global economic failure, the so-called ‘Wall Street Crash’ of 1929, trade wars, unemployment...

*I did an HND in photography and my project dissertation was on the use of photography to promote social change. It included an examination of the Great Depression.<sup>5</sup> So great was the depth of poverty that the US Farm Administration Bureau hired photographers to travel the countryside and rural areas, to chronicle the awful plight of families caught in the poverty trap. The conditions were so horrendous that words alone could not describe them. The bankers and the politicians and the city dwellers needed to see what was happening, and in the days before instant communications, the only way to do that was in photographic images.*

The result was that corrupt politicians (and greedy capitalist bankers) were seen by many to be incompetent. The Depression made people look for a better system of government. (In Europe that was fascism and communism) Capitalism had failed, the stock market had crashed, communism was hated in USA, a completely new system was needed. Many thinkers, intellectuals, scientists and engineers, began to think that the wrong people were in charge, that the country would be better governed by people who were qualified in the skills required to run a successful company, people with the SKILLS to govern, rather than just an ability to garner votes. Surely Technocracy was the answer.

It was in these circumstances that the hitherto low key technocratic activists came to public view. There were two reasons for this.

1. Howard Scott.<sup>6</sup> (April 1, 1890 – January 1, 1970) Howard Scott (an Ulster Scot!) turned up in New York in 1918, where he worked on various construction sites, gaining practical civil engineering experience, - but he claimed that he had a formal engineering education gained in Europe. He was a fanatical technocrat. At the end of World War I, Howard Scott was already working with others to promote his ideas about how engineers could govern better than unqualified politicians and the capitalist bankers. In the early 1930's he was a lecturer in engineering in Columbia University in New York, - even then a bastion of liberalism, where he was using his position to further his philosophy of government, backed by the university itself. The faculty of Columbia loved innovation and progressive ideas, and enthusiastically promoted Technocracy. In 1932 this came to the attention of...
2. Hearst Newspapers. The Hearst Newspaper Group had 30 newspapers that covered the USA, and many national magazines. Its boss was Randolph Hearst, a man whose aptitude for inventing news and fabricating stories would put any British tabloid to shame. But in the Depression he was struggling. Who buys newspapers when you have no food? But he heard that Columbia University was promoting and teaching a completely new government and economic system, and he knew that it was a story that would sell papers. He ran with it, right across the group, and Technocracy suddenly became a national conversation - a completely new start, not just for America but for the whole world. Scott, Columbia University and the technocrats were on every front page, and where Hearst led, others followed.

It was going well for Scott and his group of followers until Columbia University discovered that Scott himself was a fraud. His prestigious engineering degree from Europe didn't even exist. Concerned about their reputation, Columbia instantly fired him, and his group of supporters, banned them from the campus, and Hearst, who, along with the university had been bankrolling Scott and the Technocracy movement felt that he had been robbed, defrauded. He took instant action, and banned Scott and the technocrats from any of his papers on pain of dismissal of any journalist or editor who even mentioned the word.

Scott was now penniless and the whole movement discredited and dead in the water. From Scott's point of view, it was a situation that couldn't be allowed to continue, he was known to prefer the Bohemian lifestyle of Greenwich Village. He met and joined forces with one M (for Marion) King Hubbert,<sup>7</sup> (October 5, 1903 – October 11, 1989) an American geologist and geophysicist, and together they registered a company, Technocracy Inc. *Technocracy Inc. was formed in 1931 to promote the ideas of Howard Scott. Scott ...called for the "price system" and fiat currencies to be replaced with a system based on how much energy it takes to produce specific goods. Scott called for engineers to run a continental government, which he termed a technate, to "optimise the use of energy to assure abundance." Virtually unknown today, the organisation boasted over half a million members in California alone at its peak in the 1930s and 1940s.* (It actually still exists - mostly as a research organisation<sup>8</sup>). Technocracy Inc was a membership based organisation, with a membership fee, which kept Scott financially supplied, but obviously it had to offer its members something. It did. It published a magazine, The Technocrat, it offered a study course, so that its members would be able to better understand the political and economic principles of the movement. So, what did the 1930's Technocrats envision as the political and economic landscape of their utopian 'Technate'?

### The Technocracy Inc. Manifesto

1. Supra-nationalism. The establishment of an American Technate, a supra-national political/economic bloc that would include all of North America, including Greenland, and some of the northern states of South America. National governments would be dissolved, and borders would become redundant in the technocratic utopia. It's not quite globalism as we now know it, but the technocrats did believe that their solution was not just for the American Technate, but could be applied worldwide.
2. Democratic government to be dissolved. Replaced by 'experts' (that begs the question, which experts!) Decisions would not be made by representation of the people, by democratic mandate, but by 'The Science'<sup>TM</sup>. Traditional Left-Right Socialist-Capitalist politics were of no interest to the technocrats, - they were both based on monetary principles that involved a price-based currency system. You might say that technocracy was 'The Third Way'. They even called upon Pres. F D Roosevelt to declare himself a dictator, and install a company structure of directors and managers instead of the US Senate and House! [I suppose, in more recent thought, if the proletariat demanded it, for a while at least, politics could continue on superficial basis, with nominal assemblies and cabinets, but with no real powers, basically just administrators of the decisions taken by the experts.]
3. Education refashioned. Hitherto children and students were encouraged to think for themselves, but in the Technate, children would be trained to support the needs of the state, taught what to think, instead of how to think.
4. Social engineering. Before the invention of Neuro Linguistic Programming and the governments' 'psychological nudge units' and 'Behavioural insight teams,' the technocrats were keen to change the behaviour of the population, through scientific education, and psychological influences. *Patrick Wood: "TECHNOCRACY IS THE SCIENCE OF SOCIAL ENGINEERING. THE SCIENTIFIC OPERATION OF THE ENTIRE SOCIAL MECHANISM, TO PRODUCE AND DISTRIBUTE GOODS AND SERVICES TO THE ENTIRE POPULATION.' FIRST OFF, YOU'LL SEE THAT IT'S THE SCIENCE OF SOCIAL ENGINEERING. THAT OUGHT TO BE ENOUGH TO MAKE THE HAIR STAND UP ON THE BACK OF YOUR HEAD, BECAUSE WHO WANTS TO BE SCIENTIFICALLY ENGINEERED BY SOMEBODY THAT YOU DON'T KNOW, SOMEBODY THAT DOESN'T KNOW YOU, BUT RATHER HAS THIS IDEA THAT THEY CAN REFORM YOU, REMAKE YOU?"*
5. Own Nothing. Removal of the right to own property. Houses and land, farms etc would all be the property of the Technate, and allocated on a fair basis, dependent upon need. Cars too would be in public ownership, with people having access to a vehicle from local car-pool, from which they would collect the vehicle and return it. I know that this sounds a lot like communism but in fact the technocrats were very much opposed to communism.
6. Universal allowance. All basic human needs would be provided by the state. Food, shelter, medical treatment and so on. And there would be a 'universal basic income' if you like - a kind of state pension for everyone. Work-life balance would be drastically altered, for the plan was to abolish the working week, even the month. The Technocrats saw no sense in the division of time into seven day weeks. They proposed to abandon the present system of calculating time, and to replace it with a numerical system, with the first day of the vernal equinox being 0, and every day thereafter numbered in sequence up to 365, or 366 in a leap year. So no

more weekends, No Lord's Day, people would work four days on, three days off, so that productivity would be on a constant 365 days a year basis, rather than the 5 day working week of that time. (And to some extent now)

7. Abolition of (what is now) fiat currency. But this was the driving force behind all of these other innovations. So, what is Fiat Currency<sup>10</sup>? The value of the money we use everyday is controlled by the government. That's why we have rampant inflation. During the Covid lockdowns, to pay for the furloughs and the failed Track and Trace System and the millions of pounds worth of PPE that was regarded as useless by the NHS, the government simply issued more currency. We talk about them, "printing money" - but they are not actually printing anything, for 97% or so of our circulating money is digital. It literally doesn't exist in a physical sense. So, *Fiat money is a type of currency that is not backed by any commodity such as gold or silver. It is typically designated by the issuing government to be legal tender. Throughout history, fiat money was sometimes issued by local banks and other institutions.* Money is worth, quite simply, whatever you can buy with it on a given day. In 1977 we bought a nice semi in Bangor for £8000. If we'd kept that money in a bank account until now, would it be enough to buy a house? No! We'd still have £8000, but it would be worth a lot less. And of course, in 1932, just after the Wall Street Crash, people had suffered financial ruin. The Technocrats would replace it altogether with a new currency based on energy credits. They would work out the amount of energy needed to maintain your home and standard of living and they would issue you with Energy Certificates, which you could then use to purchase that energy. Currency would be measured by and denominated in Energy. And the energy certificates would have a 'use-by' date, - enough certificates would be issued for a pre-determined time period, say three months, - but at the end of that three months, the surplus energy credits would be returned to the state, so that no-one could accumulate personal wealth. The Technocrats did show some foresight, e.g., they imagined electronic payments and a larger role for communication technologies.
8. Constant monitoring of the population. This was a mathematician's dream. How much energy would be needed for to make a pound of sausages and a bag of chips? How much energy credits should be given to each home? To make these calculations, constant surveillance and monitoring of the population would be necessary. A system of social credits would be required to measure journeys, heat, food etc for every citizen.

Do you think these policies were crazy? On the contrary, as I've said, with a wrecked economy, these policies were actually popular with people who had lost money in the days leading up to the Great Depression, especially in Canada and in California! With such ambitious supra-national plans, and with so many eager supporters, why did the Technate not come to reality? There are four main reasons, as far as I've been able to discover:-

1. Egotistical naivety. These scientists and engineers actually thought that they were better than everyone else, that they had superior intellect and ability, and that when they presented their plans to the common people, the plebs, they would simply accept that the experts knew best, and offer no resistance. To be fair, the early radio and press appearances of Howard Scott provoked a wide acceptance, but nowhere near a majority. In Canada it was even banned for a time during World War 2, where the government thought it was too akin to nazism. You would have understood why, if you'd been able to attend a Technocracy Inc meeting or convention. The leaders of the movement insisted that all members should be a credit to the movement, and it became cult like in its enforcement of that aim. The men dressed in regulation three-piece grey suits, the ladies in smart grey dresses, they even had a salute.
2. Political resistance?<sup>11</sup> The Technocrats were apolitical, neither left nor right. They wanted an end to political parties, and to professional politics, there must have been resistance from the establishment, the politicians who were not on board with the programme. (They don't make that mistake nowadays, as we shall see.)
3. Logistical problems. How would it all work, practically speaking? The early technocrats actually had some notion of what digital currency might look like, and how it might be transacted, but without computers, without the connectivity of the internet, without automatic intelligence, how could multiple Technate-wide transactions be processed without millions of multiple processing events, massive amounts of paper and armies of civil servants.
4. Gradual improvement, post-war. Following the Second World War, there was a rise of optimism in the USA. The baby-boomer generation had begun, (probably to the great dismay of the population alarmists and eugenicists) and even those who had thought that a technocratic solution was the way forward were drawn away by President F D Roosevelt's 'New Deal' - an attempt to bring government closer to the people and alleviate hardship.

By the late 1940s any appeal that Technocracy had was ebbing swiftly, and the movement fell into abeyance during the 50s and 60s, becoming little more than an obscure movement of eccentrics and fringe intellectuals and scientists. King Hubbert resigned, and Howard Scott remained the head of Technocracy Inc. until his death in 1970.

## 2. Modern Technocracy - Same Aims and Principles - Different Methods.

Following the death of Scott, and the reduction of Technocracy Inc to a handful of people, one might have thought that the aims and principles of the movement would have died out. They should have, but for a man called David Rockefeller. One of the famous banking family, David Rockefeller was astute enough to know that the fiat currency system, the price based economies of the west would eventually crash. The banks didn't have the money they were lending, the governments were still incompetent and to a greater or lesser degree corrupt, - it can't go on forever. It's a giant, official ponzi scheme, with government connivance and like all Ponzi schemes, sooner or later it will collapse. Rockefeller was one of the wealthiest men in the world and he wanted to stay that way. He had ideas...

In 1973, Rockefeller met Zbigniew Brzezinski, a political science professor at Columbia University and author, and together they founded the TRILATERAL COMMISSION with the stated aim of creating a New International Economic Order (NIEO). **(People have told me that I'm indulging in conspiracy theories - but this is no theory. This is their STATED AIM, IT IS COMPLETELY OPEN AND ABOVE BOARD)** It is the stated purpose of the Trilateral Commission to do away with our present price based currency system, and replace it with a completely new way of buying and selling, with their currency based on (wait for it) ENERGY and RESOURCES... They speak of "Global capitalism in transition,<sup>12</sup>"

The website, trilateral.org states, "*Capitalism or market-based economies are at a historic transition point. Capitalism's positive impact on prosperity and well-being is unmatched. Nonetheless, many people are frustrated today by its inability to handle some of the greatest challenges facing society. There are growing concerns about whether market-based*

*economies will be able to address three major challenges. First, climate change. Second, the disruptions triggered by the digital revolution. Third, rising inequalities.”<sup>13</sup>*

The Trilateral commission is Technocracy reborn, but under a new name and with more subtle methods of achieving their aims. You can't apply to join the Trilateral Commission, you are invited, - ordinary people aren't represented, just experts, from the world of business, politics and academia. They are 'The Elites' - the people who know best, just like the early Technocrats. You can find a list of members of the Trilateral Commission on-line. One of those listed is Sir Kier Starmer, Leader of HM Opposition at Westminster. Check out their website for yourself<sup>14</sup>.

In the 1980's the Trilateral Commission sold its vision to the United Nations organisation. A book written by a member of the commission. Gro Harlem Brundtland called "our Common Future" had popularised the term 'Sustainable Development' in regard to world consumption. In 1992, the UN convened the Earth Summit in Brazil a programme was a greed, "**Agenda 21.**" The agenda for change for the 21st Century. It is still in force, but has been extended and expanded. This agenda includes, a new economic paradigm that is almost identical to the economic aims of the Technocracy, - a resource-based economic system that uses energy as currency. Cities are to be converted into 'Smart Cities' as national borders are gradually erased, and the world transformed into a borderless Utopia, - rural dwellers are to be forced into these cities. No-one will be exempt. *Patrick Wood: In 2015, the Executive Secretary of the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change, Christiana Figueres, clearly stated, "This is the first time in the history of mankind that we are setting ourselves the task of intentionally, within a defined period of time to change the economic development model that has been reigning for at least 150 years, since the industrial revolution."*

To achieve this goal, all production MUST be in the hands of Technocrats who will run the economy, and make all decisions for both the manufacturers and the consumers.

But how will the Technocrats persuade governments to abandon their national borders, relinquish their currencies, hand over control to 'experts' and ensure that parliaments, established by democracy, take a back seat. The Technocrats have learned their lessons from the '30s. The 30s Technocrats simply thought that if you explained what they wanted to do to the people, they would "see sense" and simply go along with it. The modern technocrats are not so naive. They know that the common people, the people who vote in the elections are not just so soft. If you tell people openly that you intend to take away their freedoms, freedom to travel, freedom to spend, freedom to speak, freedom to be anonymous, to remove cash and to have your every move monitored by the government, - who's going to vote for such an agenda? That's where the World Economic Forum comes in.

So, who or what is the WEF? Let's hear what they say: "*The World Economic Forum is an independent international organisation committed to improving the state of the world by engaging business, political, academic and other leaders of society to shape global, regional and industry agendas. Incorporated as a not-for-profit foundation in 1971, and headquartered in Geneva, Switzerland, the Forum is tied to no political, partisan or national interests.*"

So, it's GLOBALIST, it is TRILATERAL (Business, Politics and Academia), AGENDA-DRIVEN, and it is CROSS-PARTY, or with NO POLITICAL ALIGNMENT. It was founded in 1971 by Klaus Schwab, a German ENGINEER and ECONOMIST, (and we have already seen the malignant relationship between engineers and economics...) What gives Herr Schwab the right to think that he knows better how to run our economy than we do? Because he is an engineer! Let's call him for what he is, a TECHNOCRAT. The son of a German nazi, Schwab has several doctoral degrees, and has written several books, including his "*Fourth Industrial Revolution*<sup>15</sup>" and his latest unreadable tome, "*Covid 19 - The Great Reset*<sup>16</sup>." But the evil genius of the WEF (and to be fair, Schwab both looks like and dresses like a villain in a James Bond movie) is the so-called "*Capture of democratic structures and institutions.*" The WEF has achieved what the Technocrats of the 1930s could only dream of, through their '*Global Young Leaders Programme.*' In a 2017 interview, Schwab said "*I have to say, when I mention now names, like Mrs. (Angela) Merkel and even Vladimir Putin, and so on, they all have been Young Global Leaders of the World Economic Forum. But what we are very proud of now is the young generation like Prime Minister [Justin] Trudeau ... We penetrate the cabinet. So yesterday I was at a reception for Prime Minister Trudeau and I know that half of his cabinet, or even more than half of his cabinet, are actually Young Global Leaders.*"

17

So, who are these 'Global Young Leaders?' They are ensconced in parliaments across the western world. They win elections, when it seems they are unelectable. They sit in positions of power, when they are wanted there by no-one. Rishi Sunak, our PM, as a result of a globalist coup, and Jeremy Hunt the Chancellor of the Exchequer that no-one wanted, - appointed to that position while he was in Brussels, and while the sitting chancellor was on an aeroplane flying back from the USA, and the then PM Liz Truss was under extreme duress. From whom? And our two main political parties, with not a piece of tissue paper of difference between them in policy, and the present Leader of the Opposition, Keir Starmer, attending the WEF conference this very week to mark himself out as a Global Leader... Someone noted, "*The next WEF / Davos annual meeting is taking place in Klosters from 16-20 January 2023. A meeting where corrupt politicians, unelected technocrats and messiah complex billionaires discuss how to fleece even more out of us plebs under the guises of virtue, safety and convenience.*"

Do you recognise any of those ideas, the progressive agendas of the 1930s technocrats in modern government terminology and policy? The continual surveillance of people's lives? Vaccine Passports? The energy certificates, let's call them 'Carbon Credits,' the meeting of all human needs by the state, - shall we call that 'Universal Basic Income?' Education for human conditioning, let's call that, 'woke ideology' 'diversity and inclusion' 'gender issues...' all being taught in schools, from the earliest ages, *Klaus Schwab on the WEF global education initiative: "Our global education initiative... we have Cisco and practically all the big names...we will revolutionise the educational system...working together with local*

*authorities...retrain the teachers...put a new curriculum in place.*” Private ownership to be forbidden - we might say, “You will own nothing and be happy!” Got a smart phone or smart home or a smart meter, or a smart car... The word “SMART” I’m told, refers to “self-monitoring, analysis, and reporting technology.” It’s presented as ‘safety and convenience’, but actually it’s really about tricking us into ever more digital control and surveillance by the state.

There is another tool in the cabinet of the WEF, and of the technocrats, and that is the proposed “*Programmable Central Bank Digital Currency*.”<sup>18</sup> After all, as Henry Kissinger<sup>19</sup> said (and he should know) “*He who controls the money controls the world*”) The dangers to our personal freedoms and liberties are immense.<sup>20</sup> CBDCs can have built-in controls so they can discriminate based on age, sex, wealth, race, or whatever other categories the government wanted, Every CBDC transaction can be tracked and recorded and the inevitable demise of cash would bring an end to anonymous transactions, tips for waiters, gifts for kids, church collection plates, etc etc. And if you are a vocal opponent of the government, your finances can be quite easily made inaccessible to you, just as Paypal did with the Free Speech Union in 2022. And CB digital currency requires a digital identity, - just like they have in China, where the population is controlled by a system of social credits, punishments and rewards for what the state deems to be good behaviour. It’s no wonder that some political and economic commentators<sup>21</sup> are saying that China can no longer be regarded as a communist state - communism has morphed into a Technocracy. China is now a technate. So how would a technocratic government persuade YOU to part with your sterling, and take up their new CBDC? Quite simple, destroy the currency, through rampant inflation, higher prices, - make your pounds and pence worthless, then offer you the digital replacement, the “*Bitcoin*.” And to make sure that you stay in your place, the new CBDC’s (Fungible tokens) will have expiry dates, - so you must use them up, or their value will return to the state, those preventing YOU from ever accumulating personal wealth, so you can be of no threat whatsoever to the so-called ‘elites’ - the predator classes.

The grandiose plans of the original technocrats were promoted as the panacea to the dereliction and disease left after the Great Depression. Or as many of our politicians might say, in modern terms, “*Build Back Better... - a Great Reset*.”

### 3. The Christian Response.

The Christian response is not armed insurrection. I’ve noticed people on various social media sites calling for citizens to rise up against the so called elites, to take down this wicked and corrupt system by force. There certainly have been times when Christians have done so, and the day may come when Christianity is so persecuted in this land that we will be backed into a corner, not unlike those of the Scottish Covenanters in the 17<sup>th</sup> Century, when many believers were convinced that the only road to liberty of religion and conscience was armed resistance. But we are not there yet. We must remember the wisdom of James in *James 1:20 For the wrath of man worketh not the righteousness of God*. So what do we do.

We are NOT helpless. *2 Corinthians 10:3-5 For though we walk in the flesh, we do not war after the flesh: 4 (For the weapons of our warfare are not carnal, but mighty through God to the pulling down of strong holds;) 5 Casting down imaginations, and every high thing that exalteth itself against the knowledge of God, and bringing into captivity every thought to the obedience of Christ;* Let’s explore some options:-

1. **STAY AWAKE.** *1 Peter 5:8, Be sober, be vigilant; because your adversary the devil, as a roaring lion, walketh about, seeking whom he may devour: Ephesians 6:18 Praying always with all prayer and supplication in the Spirit, and watching thereunto with all perseverance and supplication for all saints; Luke 21:36 Watch ye therefore, and pray always, that ye may be accounted worthy to escape all these things that shall come to pass, and to stand before the Son of man. 2 Corinthians 11:14 And no wonder, for even Satan disguises himself as an angel of light. ...* Recognise that there is evil taking place in this world, that there are people with evil, malevolent intent, and be aware of what their plans are. The government and its globalist masters want to feed you with a diet of bread and circuses, to entertain you with mind-numbing reality TV, and to keep you from thinking about what they are planning for you. Don’t let them. Be strong, and make efforts to educate yourself, to research and read, to discern the times. *John 8:32 And you will know the truth, and the truth will set you free.*
2. **PRAY!** Pray without ceasing. Not just private prayer, but public prayer. This is a spiritual battle, it is good verses evil, it really is Christ verses Antichrist. I actually believe that the globalist agenda is truly Satanic. The spiritual weapon for that battle, the weapon which is most effective against the schemes of the devil and his lieutenants is prayer. *There used to be a saying, “the devil trembles when he sees the weakest saint upon his knees,” Recently I saw a meme on social media, “The devil saw me on my knees and thought he had won - until he heard me say Amen!”* Prayer is our effective weapon in this battle. James again, *James 5:16 ...The effectual fervent prayer of a righteous man availeth much.* And the Greek “righteous man” really is gender neutral! δίκαιος (dikaios) “A righteous one!” *AMP: The heartfelt and persistent prayer of a righteous man (believer) can accomplish much [when put into action and made effective by God—it is dynamic and can have tremendous power].* Now, as evidence of the way that prayer is feared by the satanic forces of globalism, I would just draw your attention to the way in which the globalists have legislated against prayer in parliaments across the western world. The so-called “Gay Conversion Therapy” debate<sup>22</sup> included calls from gay rights groups to ban prayer for homosexual, and mainstream media delighted in showing videos of extremist charismatic groups performing weird exorcisms to reinforce the call for prayer to be banned. In Northern Ireland, MLA’s have passed a non-binding motion calling for a ban on conversion therapy “in all its forms”. It was proposed by Doug Beattie and John Stewart (both Unionists). Why do they want to exclude Christians from praying near abortion clinics? *In December 2022, a Catholic woman was praying, silently, on a footpath, alone, near an abortion mill in Birmingham. Isabel Vaughan-Spruce wasn’t holding up any posters, or obstructing anyone, or speaking to anyone, yet police came and asked her if she was praying, and when she said she might have been praying in her head, - they arrested her.* So, you can be arrested and charged, under UK law, for simply lifting up your thoughts to God. Prayer is the target! Now, why do you think that the globalists and their puppets in our parliaments and assemblies and councils want to stop people praying? I think these examples are enough for us to realise the power of prayer, The devil knows that prayer is

powerful, his acolytes hate it as much as he does, and that should be a spur to us - to pray! *E M Bounds said, "Our praying, however, needs to be pressed and pursued with an energy that never tires, a persistency which will not be denied, and a courage which never fails."*

3. **REDEEM THE TIME.** *Ephesians 5:16 Redeeming the time, because the days are evil.* In Ephesians, Paul recognises the evil of these last days - the times have been evil right throughout the gospel era, - we are not experiencing anything unique. But as the end times draw nigh, and the days of the Lord's return approach, I do believe that evil will rise up, that the devil will kick back against his own impending fate, which is already aware of. So Paul gives us an explicit instruction. We are to 'Redeem the time.' Let's not be time-wasters in these last days. *Psalm 90:12 So teach us to number our days, that we may apply our hearts unto wisdom.* We must tread carefully through this world, seeking always the will of the Lord. We certainly should not waste our time with worldliness.
4. **READ and STUDY THE WORD OF GOD.** When Paul tells us to put on the armour of God, he specifically references the protection that we have by becoming thoroughly acquainted God's inspired word. *Ephesians 6:13 Wherefore take unto you the whole armour of God, that ye may be able to withstand in the evil day, and having done all, to stand. 14 Stand therefore, having your loins girt about with truth, and having on the breastplate of righteousness; 15 And your feet shod with the preparation of the gospel of peace; 16 Above all, taking the shield of faith, wherewith ye shall be able to quench all the fiery darts of the wicked. 17 And take the helmet of salvation, and the sword of the Spirit, which is the word of God: 18 Praying always with all prayer and supplication in the Spirit, and watching thereunto with all perseverance and supplication for all saints;* Be passionate about the Bible. Get to know it, intimately.
5. **TRUST IN THE LORD.** I know this sounds simplistic, but when evil comes, trust in God. The Bible is full of precious promises for the Lord's people who will simply rest on the Lord, and trust him as a little child trusts a parent. *Proverbs 3:5 Trust in the Lord with all thine heart; and lean not unto thine own understanding. Psalm 37:4-6 Delight thyself also in the Lord: and he shall give thee the desires of thine heart. 5 Commit thy way unto the Lord; trust also in him; and he shall bring it to pass. 6 And he shall bring forth thy righteousness as the light, and thy judgment as the noonday. Romans 8:28 And we know that all things work together for good to them that love God, to them who are the called according to his purpose.*
6. **REMEMBER YOUR DESTINY.** We are heavenly citizens. While we are to be awake to what is happening in the world, and not to allow the media to shovel more sand on heads that are already buried in it, let us always remember that attractive though this world may seem, it is not our home, and true freedom and blessing will only come when we are dwelling in the New Jerusalem. There is an amazing statement in Hebrews 11. Abraham was called by God to dwell in the land of promise. It held many attractions, all of which were promised to him and to his seed forever, and yet Abraham never put down permanent roots in this promised land. He dwelt in a tent, and has content to do so, knowing that as blessed as this land was, it was not his final home. Here's the passage, in, *Hebrews 11:8-10 By faith Abraham, when he was called to go out into a place which he should after receive for an inheritance, obeyed; and he went out, not knowing whither he went. 9 By faith he sojourned in the land of promise, as in a strange country, dwelling in tabernacles with Isaac and Jacob, the heirs with him of the same promise: 10 For he looked for a city which hath foundations, whose builder and maker is God.* The WEF's 15 minute cities, that are being established right now, the Smart Cities, (Belfast is a designated 'Smart City') will be sold to the gullible masses as 'sustainable' and 'convenient' - almost utopian... but they are not our home, - like Abraham, we are looking for an eternal city. Like Paul, *2 Corinthians 5:1 For we know that if our earthly house of this tabernacle were dissolved, we have a building of God, an house not made with hands, eternal in the heavens.*
7. **PRACTICALLY.** If we cannot or should not react with insurrection, at least at this point, is there a practical course of action would could follow? I think, practically we could build strong networks. Support networks will be vital if we are to avoid being sucked in to the WEF beast system. There was a Tweet recently, quoting Klaus Schwab as speculating that the next major world event could be a massive cyber attack that will destroy the internet as we know it, deriving us of our banking services, and our entertainment and our digital lifelines... Naturally, the global predators will have prepared for this a new improved internet service already in place, and all ready to go. It'll just be a matter of getting it set up on your devices. Of course, we can't have the threat of a repeat attack, so to sign up for WWW Mark 2, you'll need to have a digital ID, - and to get that digital ID you'll need to have your biosecurity certificate, - so fully vaccinated and boosted, - and meeting the net zero targets ... Ach, don't worry it won't happen, sure it won't. But if it does, or something like it, practically you will be forced to comply or starve, - unless there are strong networks of people determined to peacefully resist and provide support for each other, the practical exchange of goods and services.

So, we do have a strategy, as believers, to wage war against the satanic agenda of the globalist cabals and their forces, a strategy that is Biblical, defensive and that will literally put the fear of God into our foes.

So, what have we learned? Firstly, that the present danger that we face is not a recent phenomenon, but is a rehashing of long laid plans, except that our breed of modern technocrats unlike their forebears, actually do have the technology, in the internet, to carry these plans out. We have seen how they will do this, by carefully planting their agents inside governments and cabinets, by whatever means, and we have observed how these plans are being already enacted.

Then, we have established a basic biblical strategy, to counter this satanic onslaught, based around prayer, doing spiritual battles, wrestling not against flesh and blood, but against the dark powers that have possessed these people, and are holding them in their sway. I finish with a prayer, a Collect, from the old Book of Common Prayer:

*Almighty God, give us grace that we may cast away the works of darkness, and put upon us the armour of light, now in the time of this mortal life, in which thy Son Jesus Christ came to visit us in great humility; that in the last day, when he shall come again in his glorious Majesty, to judge both the quick and the dead, we may rise to the life immortal; through him who liveth and reigneth with thee and the Holy Ghost, now and ever. Amen.*

For more research click some of links at the bottom of this page.<sup>23</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> <https://www.technocracy.news/quick-start/>
- <sup>2</sup> <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Technocracy>
- <sup>3</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ministry\\_of\\_All\\_the\\_Talents](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ministry_of_All_the_Talents)
- <sup>4</sup> <https://www.history.com/news/great-depression-causes>
- <sup>5</sup> [https://portraits2go.files.wordpress.com/2015/07/bob\\_mcevoy\\_crit\\_study.pdf](https://portraits2go.files.wordpress.com/2015/07/bob_mcevoy_crit_study.pdf)
- <sup>6</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Howard\\_Scott](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Howard_Scott)
- <sup>7</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/M.\\_King\\_Hubbert](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/M._King_Hubbert)
- <sup>8</sup> <https://www.technocracyinc.org>
- <sup>9</sup> <https://economicstimeline.weebly.com/blairs-third-way.html> Labour Party prime minister, Tony Blair, who followed Thatcher had run in 1997 on a platform of a “Third Way.” It wasn’t the conservative approach, or the old labour party approach, which focused on trade unions, public ownership, a strong welfare state, government intervention and redistribution of wealth.
- <sup>10</sup> <https://medium.com/@andrew.s.nevin/the-infinity-war-whoever-controls-money-controls-the-world-a01dd9d02e83>
- <sup>11</sup> [https://www.academia.edu/87319836/Planning\\_the\\_Technate\\_The\\_apolitical\\_politics\\_of\\_the\\_1930s\\_Technocratic\\_Movement](https://www.academia.edu/87319836/Planning_the_Technate_The_apolitical_politics_of_the_1930s_Technocratic_Movement)
- <sup>12</sup> <https://acrobat.adobe.com/link/track?uri=urn%3Aaaid%3AAscds%3AUS%3A47195f23-434b-39b3-a79d-a5ec94b95d9d&viewer%21megaVerb=group-discover>
- <sup>13</sup> <https://www.trilateral.org/task-force-on-global-capitalism-in-transition/>
- <sup>14</sup> <https://www.trilateral.org>
- <sup>15</sup> <https://www.weforum.org/about/the-fourth-industrial-revolution-by-klaus-schwab/>
- <sup>16</sup> <https://www.amazon.co.uk/COVID-19-Great-Reset-Klaus-Schwab/dp/2940631123>
- <sup>17</sup> Corcoran, Terence (18 February 2022). “Terence Corcoran: In Canada, follow the money + the ideas”. *Financial Post*. Retrieved 13 April 2022.
- <sup>18</sup> <https://www.bankofengland.co.uk/research/digital-currencies>
- <sup>19</sup> <https://www.goodreads.com/quotes/1236134-who-controls-money-control-the-world>
- <sup>20</sup> <https://thenationaltelegraph.com/bitcoin-finance/why-central-bank-digital-currencies-cbdc-are-so-dangerous>
- <sup>21</sup> Wood, P.M, 2018, “*Technocracy, The Hard Road to World Order*,” Coherent Publishing, Mesa Arizona.
- <sup>22</sup> <https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2022/apr/01/ban-conversion-practices-trans-people-lesbian-gay-people>  
<https://inews.co.uk/news/long-reads/conversion-therapy-lgbt-prayer-christian-boris-johnson-1142685>  
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-politics-56420328>  
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-northern-ireland-56802428>
- <sup>23</sup> <https://corporatefinanceinstitute.com/resources/economics/technocracy/>  
<https://www.ft.com/content/df695f10-154d-11e8-9376-4a6390adb44>  
[https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736\(20\)32668-4/fulltext](https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(20)32668-4/fulltext)  
[https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8652461/origins history of technocracy](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8652461/origins%20history%20of%20technocracy)  
<https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Technocracy>  
<https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcomm.2020.00037/full>  
<https://ourfoundations.podbean.com/e/329-christian-technocracy/>  
<https://www.firstthings.com/article/2015/08/technocracy-now>  
<https://afterthefuture.typepad.com/afterthefuture/technocracy/>  
<https://mindmatters.ai/2020/10/how-chinas-technocracy-uses-the-pandemic-to-suppress-religion/>  
<https://www.cogitatiopress.com/politicsandgovernance/article/download/3361/3361>  
<https://issuesetc.org/tag/technocracy/>  
<https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=86pmrLxW5BU>